

**What is meant by the phrase "God's Engines"?
the why & how Evolutionists and Atheists can use them
w/out taking offense or compromising their personal beliefs.**

Hi. Wanted to explain what is meant by the phrase "God's Engines" and why those ones who do not believe in a personal God should not be offended by it. I use the phrase in my sense that the engine systems I have designed are of such high caliber (non-polluting, zero emissions) that they underline my Bible based beliefs I already had decades ago.

However, that's my preference; but technically the use of the engines is not exclusive to believers. As they say, beauty is in the eye of the beholder. Some people will see these systems and immediately feel deep thankfulness for them, **yet their beauty can be experienced without feeling that**, or say, with awe and appreciation without taking it to the additional spiritual level.

I realize that my use of the phrase has likely made some of you feel excluded or perhaps ostracized, **or something; intimidated perhaps**. None of that is now or ever was intended. I suppose I always assumed that any who did not share my belief depth (be that defined as either super shallow or super deep) would be able to maturely brush the phrase aside and read on anyway.

Perhaps I was wrong to make that assumption. To eliminate such feelings I am writing this letter of explanation today (12/26/2008). What I need to make clear is that not every one of my systems is an example of "God's Engines" anyway. Take the recent 12/21/08 solution I put together of a system for garnering and transmitting solar energy and/or also electrical pulses from stellar radiation to Earth: <http://tinyurl.com/StardustEnergy>; it is NOT an example of "God's Engines". It does use Physics, and it is a great system too, just not that level.
Nuclear power ditto.

Coal comes from the planet, like crude oil and natural gas, but they fall short of the definition. I began calling all engine systems that combust a fuel as "linear energy" i.e. **in & out single-use then exhaust**. That being said, grabbing energy that is already made for us like lightning or sunlight, if there is a God then they would be from him... but then **EVERYTHING** would be from him also so the phrase would lose meaning and be ridiculous to even use; it would be automatically understood.

A true example of "God's Engines" would be planets revolving around a sun in total equilibrium of forces ~plus lacking friction by being in a vacuum~ a system that almost had to be placed in motion correctly the very first time it started, with the Moon at the exact distance and speed that constitutes a binary planet system...

each technically revolving around the other in a level of astronomical Mass-Motion balance. And yet could have evolved simultaneously from small and balanced into being large and balanced to be what they are today, massive and balanced. I respect other people's right to see that without taking it any further **and rights need to be respected at all costs.**

"God's Engines" was selected to exclusively mean an Energy System that is cyclical and recurring (what is called a "dedicated engine"). Such a system is an energy scavenger, a "no-waste" engine where every scrap of motion and moving masses in some way contribute to the completion of the cycle, returns to the start & repeats barely dropping a gear. Like tapping the side of a hula hoop just enough to keep it rolling, except that instead of a running child tapping it the system is tapped by Physics Laws no matter how close to failure keeping rolling (see pictures down the right side of www.askinventor.com).

Such engine systems look like perpetual motion but they are not that: they are a perpetual power I call "Physics Fuel" i.e. running by laws of Physics not the combustion of any liquid or solid matter... where there is no excess heat nor any exhaust at all. No excess heat produced shows an elevated efficiency because [excess engine heat is an indicator of engine inefficiency](#). Such engines have such a small level of inefficiency as to nearly have no inefficiency at all.

However, my air+steam solution to the air-powered engine ~attempted several times in the past by two other scientists who did not quite succeed~ is in my opinion an example of both. The compressed air-enhanced steam engine implosion is "temperature-balanced", so that part is one of "God's Engines" by my definition yet the expanded air being recompressed by [upper vehicle body-suspended air compressors](#) (extra leverage) does in fact produce MUCH HEAT.

But that heat is not a negative, as the condensed water released from cylinder ports is routed around the compressors (using a "closed jacket") to accomplish two jobs at the same time. The supercold just-condensed water is keeping the compressors cooled plus **#2** the compressors are pre-heating the water as it returns to the engine to be re-heated into steam => for a 200% twofer that **exceeds 100% engine efficiency for that part of the system**.

"God-level" engines many times include such spots included in the full cycle where they make these big jumps of efficiency that raises the overall system above 100%, like a child tapping the System to keep it over-efficiency... and that is why I call such systems "frictionless engines". Of course they do have friction ~all engines have friction~ but the total efficiency minus total friction makes them "effectively frictionless".

...and a system that has motion and still achieves this "frictionless" state is producing very little excess heat, the system having gone above the crippling three laws of thermodynamics because those three laws only exercise dominion over heat-producing engines, a fact that has been overlooked by engineers who had it drummed into them while in college no such engines can exist.

Well, they do. However, just because they could be built right now, does that make them the best choice? Linear engines make lots of jobs, employ millions of workers worldwide. Linear engines need constant fixing, constant replacing and ongoing maintenance because all the excess heat wears out moving engine parts. Frictionless engines tend not to wear out, and without producing excess heat their engine oil (if they use it) is not having a reduction of oil viscosity. So the engine oil would likely last hundreds of thousands of miles as they are closed systems that do not allow entry of dirt.

As you can well imagine by now, "God-level" engines means a vast change to human society as we know it today. Since no pollution is created lots of people now doing jobs connected with the environment would be out on the street. There would be a worldwide glut of "Maytag repairmen" strumming guitars. **The Kyoto Protocols? No longer needed.** EPA? The number of Environmental Protection Agency employees would plummet. Libraries anyone?

And so on, a ripple going out that many people cannot conceive of ever being a good thing. People will talk a good game but in the end it is people like that [who see good in a continuing obesity epidemic](#) because it provides the medical community with lots of high-paying incomes each of which in turn sends \$\$\$ tax money to DC Republicans... an obvious conflict of interest in helping people, giving people the best engines; in solving obesity or solving cancer => <http://tinyurl.com/6k2wkd>. So much of what I have accomplished is being held back even from the News. Be that as it may, this level of engines is what is needed. Ocean life is dying and I am about to lose tunafish for lunch.

Engine systems that do not produce any toxic waste or fumes or fluids is what the planet needs because pollution is destroying it. Now you take the last kid on the block, solar panel energy. It was trumped up to be "the answer" for a while but it is not because their rare metals would be used up in short order if many people actually used them. Besides, [they are inferior to the God's-level system of solar power I know how to make](#) that uses none of those precious metals (and produces world's more electricity anyway).

But theirs make plenty of jobs! And yet, if everybody was using such engines to power their home, they would not owe a monthly electric bill. And if they have a car engine (that would allow they keep their heavy SUV's) that ran on Wind Power ~[explained here](#)~ and did not need to pump any fuel... and a home that did not need much heat & cooling anyway by virtue of its efficient design, **then just how much money from a job would your family need?**

If we went ahead and implemented all these and more, such as my Gravity engine that will turn a generator 24/7, we would have God's Kingdom on Earth [as regards electrical power](#) plus avoid the manmade pollution armageddons we are now facing too, so why don't we do that? Actually we could have, except those in power went throwing \$850,000,000,000 plus untold trillions into keeping our present burnfuel systems intact (and their big bank accounts).

Paradise, within our grasp but quickly kept from happening by people who fully know what my engines will do: an Energy Supply Paradise that would make people so content and well-provided for they would start loving life so much **they would likely all throw down their weapons** & go home to live the life they should be living. So "they" had no choice but to stomp hard on the brakes and stop such an awful loss of tax monies, a total halt of what they call **financial velocity** and the reason why the world debt now forces us to build inferior engines when better ones exist. So there you go; the artificial timeline remains. It will take God to change it, to institute a course correction via His Kingdom Come a new administration that will not need your money to rule. [That Kingdom is our only hope for paradise on Earth](#); men will never give up \$. The United Nations will not do it because it would be dissolved: lack of funds.